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#### Abstract

Inhibition of mutant B-Raf signaling, through either direct inhibition of the enzyme or inhibition of MEK, the direct substrate of Raf, has been demonstrated preclinically to inhibit tumor growth. Very recently, treatment of B-Raf mutant melanoma patients with a selective B-Raf inhibitor has resulted in promising preliminary evidence of antitumor activity. This article describes the design and optimization of tetrahydro-naphthalene-derived compounds as potent inhibitors of the Raf pathway in vitro and in vivo. These compounds possess good pharmacokinetic properties in rodents and inhibit B-Raf mutant tumor growth in mouse xenograft models. 


## ■ INTRODUCTION

The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signal transduction pathway regulates cellular growth, proliferation, and differentiation in response to many different external stimuli. ${ }^{1}$ This pathway is also frequently mutated in many types of cancer and thus contains attractive targets for oncology drug discovery. ${ }^{2}$ One component of this pathway, the Raf isoform B-Raf, has a high rate of activating mutation in melanoma ( $50-70 \%$ ) and other cancers including papillary thyroid (49\%), colorectal ( $\sim 15 \%$ ), and ovarian ( $\sim 30 \%$ ). ${ }^{3,4}$ The V600E activating mutation is most common and significantly increases the basal level activity of the enzyme. ${ }^{5}$ Inhibition of mutant B-Raf signaling, through either direct inhibition of the enzyme ${ }^{6}$ or inhibition of MEK, the direct substrate of Raf, ${ }^{7}$ has been demonstrated preclinically to inhibit tumor growth. Very recently, treatment of B-Raf mutant melanoma patients with a selective B-Raf inhibitor has resulted in antitumor activity. ${ }^{8}$

At the time we began our work, sorafenib (1) was the only compound with known Raf activity in the clinic. Sorafenib is reported to have a reasonable enzyme potency against ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}} \Delta \mathrm{B}$ Raf and C-Raf ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 38 and 6 nM , respectively) and pathway inhibition in cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $40-1200 \mathrm{nM}$ depending on the cell line). ${ }^{9}$ We took the sorafenib scaffold as a starting point for our efforts and sought to identify compounds that display robust Raf pathway inhibition in an in vitro and in vivo setting. In this paper, we report the optimization of a series of novel tetrahydronaphthalene-derived compounds with respect to cellular activity and pharmacokinetic (PK) properties. In addition, these compounds profoundly inhibit both tumor growth and markers of Raf pathway activity in B-Raf mutant melanoma xenografts.

[^0]Scheme 1. Synthesis of Amide-Based Raf Inhibitors ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-Chloro- $N$-methylpicolinamide, KOtBu, DMPU, DMF, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (b) ( $\pm$ ) $\mathbf{- 1 5}$ or (S)- $\mathbf{1 5}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, heat and then amide coupling. (c) Compound $17, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$, heat and then amide coupling.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 4-Fluoropyridine Lactam ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $t$-Butyl carbamate, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, Xantphos, $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane, $80 \%$. (b) $n$-BuLi, TMEDA, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, $86 \%$. (c) Pd catalyst 29, 3,3-diethoxy-1-propene, DIPEA, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMF, $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 47 \%$.

## - CHEMISTRY

We devised two modular approaches to the synthesis of the compounds of interest as shown in Scheme 1. The appropriately substituted aniline is coupled with a phenylpropionic acid. The resulting propanamide phenol and a substituted pyridine are heated in the presence of a base to provide a biaryl ether (e.g., 2, Scheme 1). Alternatively, the biaryl ether bond is formed first when the appropriately substituted pyridine and phenol are heated in the presence of cesium carbonate. These biaryl ethers are then further reacted with either an aniline or a benzoic acid derivative to provide the desired compounds (e.g., 4 and 8, Scheme 1).

The syntheses of several substituted pyridines such as 4-chloro-$N$-methylpicolinamide, ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~N}$-(4-nitropyridin-2-yl)acetamide, and cyclopropylacetamide ${ }^{11}$ are described in the literature. Pyridine
lactam 11 is prepared in three steps from 2-chloro-4-fluoropyridine (Scheme 2). Palladium-catalyzed amination provides the Boc-protected aminopyridine (9). ortho-Lithiation and iodination set the stage for a one-pot palladium-catalyzed Heck coupling/ cyclization to provide lactam 11 (Scheme 2) in modest yield.

The synthesis of the (S)-tetrahydronaphthalene carboxylic acid (S)-15 (Scheme 3) begins with the Evans' oxazolidinone amide of phenylpropionic acid (12). Asymmetric alkylation of this oxazolidinone with $t$-butyl bromoacetate proceeds with high diastereoselectivity to provide 13 in good yield. ${ }^{12}$ Deprotection of the $t$-butyl ester, Friedel-Crafts cyclization, ${ }^{13}$ and removal of the oxazolidinone gives tetralone acid 14 . Subsequent reduction of the tetralone and deprotection of the methyl ether with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ affords the desired tetrahydronaphthalene carboxylic acid ( $S$ )-15

Scheme 3. Synthesis of S-Tetrahydronaphthalene Carboxylic Acid ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 89 \%$. (b) NaHMDS, THF $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then $t$-butyl bromoacetate, $79 \% .(\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhH}, 80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{n}$. (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{NaOH}$, THF $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70 \%$ for two steps. (e) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{psi}, 65 \%$. (f) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM},-78$ to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 74 \%$.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Amino Tetrahydronaphthalene ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}, \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{DCM}, 100 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 92 \%$. (c) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOAc}, 92 \%$ and then $S$-(+)-mandelic acid, $\mathrm{i} \operatorname{PrOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{PhMe}, 60 \%$. (d) $\mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, $99 \%$.
in good yield while maintaining enantiopurity. Careful control of the methyl ether deprotection reaction conditions was crucial as this substrate was prone to racemization, particularly on scale. ${ }^{14}$

To prepare the single enantiomer aminotetralin 17 (Scheme 4), we modified a procedure described in the patent literature. ${ }^{15}$ Reductive amination of 7-methoxy-2-tetralone and benzyl amine followed by debenzylation provides 7 -methoxy-2-aminotetralin (16). Crystallization of the free base of the aminotetralin 16 with $(S)$-mandelic acid using slightly modified solvents to those previously reported, ${ }^{16}$ followed by HBr deprotection of the methyl ether, provides the desired tetralin amine 17 in high ee and good yield.

## ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An examination of the crystal structure of sorafenib bound to ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}} \Delta \mathrm{B}$-Raf ${ }^{17}$ reveals several important features that contribute to the potency of the molecule as it occupies the ATP binding site of the enzyme in its DFG-out conformation. The pyridine ring makes key contacts in the hinge region of the enzyme. The trifluoromethyl group on the phenyl ring occupies a hydrophobic pocket formed by regions of the DFG motif and the catalytic loop of the enzyme, while the urea forms two hydrogen bonds with the enzyme. We decided to explore the importance of these two hydrogen bonds by replacing the urea with a variety of alternative moieties and quickly identified 2 (Table 1) in which the urea of sorafenib has been replaced with a propionamide. The enzyme tolerates the increase in chain length as well as the loss of one of the hydrogen bond donor groups of the urea. Both meta- and para-substituted molecules are acceptable ( $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, Table $\mathbf{1}$ ) and have similar potency against both ${ }^{V 600 E} \Delta B$-Raf and C-Raf.

Although these compounds were quite potent in our enzyme assays, they did not show any activity in a cellular assay measuring

Table 1. Sorafenib and Initial Amide-Based Raf Inhibitors


|  | ${ }^{\text {V600E }} \Delta \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Raf} \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{a}$ | C-Raf $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{a}$ | $\mathrm{pERK} \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $61 \pm 11$ | $10 \pm 2$ | $>25000^{c}$ |
| 3 | $47 \pm 12$ | $9 \pm 2$ | $>25000^{\text {c }}$ |
| 4 | $32^{\text {b }}$ | $28^{\text {b }}$ | $2900^{\text {b }}$ |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are shown as mean values of three or more determinations.
${ }^{b} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are shown as mean of two determinations. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are shown as single determinations.
inhibition of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) kinase phosphorylation (pERK) in A375 cells (a melanoma cell line that contains ${ }^{\text {V600E }} \Delta \mathrm{B}$-Raf). Constraint of the flexible central core of compound 3 (Table 1) through cyclization did not improve the enzyme activity but did improve cellular activity, as tetralin 4 (Table 1), prepared as a racemic mixture, now has cellular activity in the low micromolar range.

Having identified a series of novel compounds with modest cellular activity, we next focused on improving the cellular potency of the series while keeping a close eye on the

## Table 2. Enzyme and Cellular Potencies of Raf Inhibitors



|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}}{ }_{\Delta \mathrm{B}}$-Raf <br> $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C-Raf } \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ (\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pERK IC } \\ (\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 |  | H | Cl | $32^{\text {b }}$ | $28^{\text {b }}$ | 2,900 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 18 |  | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $26 \pm 8$ | $16 \pm 5$ | $5,000 \pm 3,000$ |
| 19 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | H | $20 \pm 12$ | $11 \pm 5$ | $1,400 \pm 500$ |
| 5 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | H | $7 \pm 2$ | $6.0 \pm 0.8$ | $150 \pm 58$ |
| 6 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | H | $8 \pm 1$ | $6.2 \pm 0.6$ | $150^{\text {b }}$ |
| 20 (S) |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | H | $5.0^{\text {b }}$ | $4.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $130^{\text {b }}$ |
| 21(R) |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | H | $6.0^{\text {b }}$ | $6^{\text {b }}$ | $780^{\text {b }}$ |
| 22 (S) |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | H | $4 \pm 1$ | $3.3 \pm 0.9$ | $130 \pm 26$ |
| 23 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHi}-\mathrm{Pr}$ | H | $5.0 \pm 0.5$ | $4.6 \pm 0.8$ | $190 \pm 42$ |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are shown as mean values of three or more determinations.
${ }^{b} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are shown as mean of two determinations.
physicochemical and PK behavior of the molecules. Introduction of a basic amine to the aryl amide portion of the molecule was evaluated as a means of improving the aqueous solubility of the series. Replacement of the 4-chloro substituent with a primary benzyl amine at either the 4 - or the 5 -position of the phenyl ring ( 18 and 19 , respectively, Table 2) was tolerated, although this modification did not improve inhibitory activity in the enzyme or cellular assays.

Major improvements in enzyme and cellular activity were observed with alteration of the substituent on the pyridine ring, the hinge-binding element of the series. A simple reversal of the pyridine amide moiety (which is expected to maintain this interaction) provided a 10 -fold improvement in cellular potency (cf. 19 and 5, Table 2). A cyclopropyl amide (compound 6) was also well tolerated, but more sterically demanding amides (e.g., isobutyryl or pivaloyl) led to decreases in cellular and enzyme activity (compounds and data not shown).

The enantiomers of 6 were separated by chiral HPLC, and the absolute stereochemistry was subsequently confirmed by synthesis of the individual enantiomers. While the enzyme activity of these two antipodes is quite similar, ( $S$ )-enantiomer 20 (Table 2) appears to be somewhat more potent in a cellular setting.

Amine $\mathbf{6}$ is a potent inhibitor of Raf in cells, but it has some significant PK liabilities. While the compound has low in vivo clearance (Table 3), it exhibits poor oral exposure and bioavailability in rats. In an effort to improve the PK properties, we focused on two areas of the molecule, the benzylic amine and the pyridine amide moiety. Exploration of the amine moiety revealed that various secondary and tertiary benzyl amines are all potent inhibitors of Raf. Dimethylation of the primary benzyl amine of 6 provided tertiary amine 22 , which maintained good potency and demonstrated improved oral exposures (Table 3); the isopropyl benzyl amine 23 also showed good cellular potency (Table 2) and significantly improved oral exposures in a rat PK experiment (Table 3, discrete rat IV data were not obtained for this molecule). Efforts to replace the amide substituent on the pyridine with a variety of heterocycles did not lead to compounds with an acceptable combination of cellular activity and in vivo clearance. As mentioned above, more sterically demanding amides were not well tolerated, but cyclization of the amide to a lactam provided potent compounds with improved oral exposures. A combination of the lactam and isopropyl benzyl amine in one molecule yielded 7 as one of our better compounds with respect to potency, in vivo clearance, and oral exposure (Table 3).

Continued optimization and exploration of the series led us to examine the effect of reversing the internal amide in this series. As exemplified by compound 8 , this modification appeared to have little impact on either the potency or the PK properties of the molecule (Table 3). Interestingly, the more potent enantiomer $(8, R)$ with this amide bond orientation has the opposite stereochemistry when compared to the more potent enantiomer in the opposite amide bond orientation (22, $S$ ).

Because of the straightforward nature of the synthesis of the aminotetralin template required for this subseries, as well as the lack of a risk of racemization (which was occasionally observed in the synthesis of molecules related to compound 7), the focus of our efforts now shifted to optimizing compound 8 . We were able to apply what we had learned from the optimization of the initial tetralin scaffold to improve the PK properties of this subseries and quickly identified 26 (ML721) ${ }^{18}$ as a molecule with excellent cellular potency and PK properties (Table 3).

Significant demethylation of 26 to the monomethyl benzyl amine 28 was observed in vivo, and this metabolite was generally found to be present at $\sim 30 \%$ of the circulating levels of 26 after oral dosing, as measured by area under the curve (AUC). We investigated 28 as a potential substitute for 26 and found that it did not perform as well as desired in vivo either in rat PK studies (see Table 3) or in mouse PK/pharmacodynamic (PD) studies (data not shown). A variety of substituted benzyl amines (as well as other amine-containing moieties) were explored, and we identified 27 (ML786) ${ }^{18}$ as a molecule that maintained good cellular potency and acceptable PK properties but did not form any known active metabolites in vivo.

Both of these compounds, 26 and 27, were found to have activity in vivo. As can be seen from Table 4, both compounds strongly inhibit the Raf pathway in vivo following a 75 or 100 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ oral dose. As a class, these compounds have been found to inhibit a significant number of kinases (Table 5) ${ }^{19}$ and are inhibitors of wild-type B-Raf and C-Raf. Although 26 and 27 display significant receptor tyrosine kinase activity, we were confident that this additional activity would not contribute significantly to pERK inhibition in melanoma tumors with constituitively activated B-Raf. This hypothesis was confirmed

Table 3. Structures, Raf Potency, and Rrat PK Parameters for Key Raf Inhibitors


|  | ${ }^{\text {V600E }} \Delta \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Raf} \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{a}$ | pERK IC ${ }_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{a}$ | $\mathrm{Clp}(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{kg})^{\text {c }}$ | Vss (L/kg) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | po $\operatorname{AUC}_{1-24 \mathrm{~h}}(\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{h})^{c}$ | po \% $F^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $8 \pm 1$ | $150^{b}$ | $0.4^{d}$ | 6.4 | $0.58^{d}$ | 1.9 |
| 22 | $4 \pm 1$ | $130 \pm 26$ | $1.41^{d}$ | 6.07 | $2.87^{d}$ | 27 |
| 23 | $5.0 \pm 0.5$ | $190 \pm 42$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{e}$ | ND | $15.6^{f}$ | ND |
| 7 | $3.3 \pm 0.3$ | $110 \pm 40$ | 0.32 | 3.87 | 20.7 | 20 |
| 24 | $2.9^{b}$ | $79 \pm 37$ | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 8 | $2.9 \pm 0.5$ | $70 \pm 26$ | 2.14 | 2.19 | 0.51 | 22 |
| 25 | $3.2 \pm 0.2$ | $1100^{b}$ | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 26 | $2.7 \pm 0.5$ | $50 \pm 26$ | 0.23 | 0.75 | 98.6 | 100 |
| 27 | $2.1 \pm 0.5$ | $60 \pm 30$ | 0.44 | 3.93 | 35.9 | 85 |
| 28 | $2.2 \pm 0.5$ | $45 \pm 11$ | 0.73 | 4.01 | 12.4 | 50 |

${ }^{a}$ Unless otherwise noted, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are shown as mean values of three or more determinations. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are shown as means of two determinations. ${ }^{c}$ Average of three rats dosed at $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ iv or $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po. Vehicle, $10 \% \mathrm{HPbCD}$. ${ }^{d}$ Vehicle: po, $20 \% \mathrm{HPbCD} ; \mathrm{iv}, \mathrm{PEG} 400 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{DMA}$ (4:4:1:1). ${ }^{e} \mathrm{ND}$, not determined. ${ }^{f}$ Data from a single rat dosed at $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po. Vehicle, $20 \% \mathrm{HPbCD}$.

Table 4. Inhibition of pERK Formation In Vivo Following an Oral Dose of Select Raf Inhibitors ${ }^{a}$

|  | hours postdose | pERK $(\% \text { inhibition })^{d}$ | plasma concentration $(\mu \mathrm{M})^{e}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | $4^{b}$ | $93 \pm 2$ | $28 \pm 7$ |
| $8^{b}$ | $92 \pm 1$ | $29 \pm 5$ |  |
|  | 27 | $72 \pm 6$ | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| $24^{b}$ | $87 \pm 4$ | $23 \pm 4$ |  |
| $4^{c}$ | $90 \pm 2$ | $27 \pm 3$ |  |
| $8^{c}$ | $83 \pm 7$ | $3.0 \pm 0.6$ |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{PK} / \mathrm{PD}$ studies were performed in nude mice bearing A375 M xenografts. Compounds were dosed as solutions in $10 \% \mathrm{HPbCD}$.
${ }^{b}$ Xenografts were harvested for analysis at the time listed after a single $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose. ${ }^{c}$ Xenografts were harvested for analysis at the time listed after a single $75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose. ${ }^{d}$ Average of pERK levels determined by Li-Cor quantitative Western blots (3 or 4 animals per group) as compared to vehicle control set at $100 \%$. ${ }^{e}$ Average of compound levels in the plasma of mice determined by LC/MS/MS quantitation (3 or 4 animals per group).
with the observation that neither sunitinib [a multi-RTK (receptor tyrosine kinase)] nor erlotinib (an EGFR inhibitor) demonstrated significant pERK inhibition in A375 cells in a

Table 5. Selected Kinase Selectivity Data for 26 and $27^{a}$

| $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{\text {V600E }} \Delta \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Raf}$ | 2.7 | 2.1 |
| wt B-Raf | 4.7 | 4.2 |
| C-Raf | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| Abl-1 | 1.2 | $<0.5$ |
| DDR2 | 2.6 | 7.0 |
| EGFR | 4900 | 190 |
| EPHA2 | 18 | 11 |
| KDR | 59 | 6.2 |
| LCK | 610 | 170 |
| MEK | $>10000$ | 1400 |
| p38 $\alpha$ | 91 | 42 |
| PDGFR | 1100 | 47 |
| RET | 1.6 | 0.8 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are shown as means of two determinations. ATP concentration for each kinase $=K_{\text {mapp }}$. Single-concentration binding data for 220 kinases can be found in the Supporting Information, Table 1.
cellular setting or in A375 M tumors upon in vivo dosing (data not shown).


Figure 1. Inhibition of subcutaneous A375 M xenografts in immunocompromised mice by 26 and 27 . The doses shown are the maximum daily dose tolerated without body weight loss. Each compound was administered po/qd for 21 days.

Furthermore, once daily dosing for 21 days at $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for 26 or $75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for 27 induces partial regressions of the tumor xenografts. These doses are well tolerated by the mice and show no indication of toxicity or weight loss as compared to vehicletreated animals (Figure 1).

A crystal structure of a representative of this series, compound 24, bound to the active site of wt B-Raf revealed the expected mode of binding to the DFG-out conformation (Figure 2). Key hinge contacts are achieved by the bicyclic pyridine lactam moiety nitrogens, the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substituent occupies a key hydrophobic pocket, and the central amide supplies a single hydrogen bond. ${ }^{20}$

We began our work searching for novel compounds that robustly inhibit the Raf pathway and demonstrate a strong antitumor effect in models of B-Raf mutant melanoma at welltolerated doses. In identifying 26 and 27, we have fulfilled that search. These molecules show potent inhibition of B-Raf in cells, possess excellent physicochemical and PK properties, potently inhibit the B-Raf pathway in mouse PK/PD studies, and show good efficacy in B-Raf mutant xenograft studies.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

NMR spectra were recorded in the solvent reported on a Bruker 300 MHz Avance1 or 400 MHz Avance2 ( 5 mm QnProbe) using residual solvent peaks as the reference. Compound purity was determined by analysis of the diode array UV trace of an LC-MS spectrum using the


Figure 2. Crystal structure of 24 solved in complex with B-Raf ( $3.1 \AA$ resolution).
following procedure. Compounds were dissolved in DMSO, methanol, or acetonitrile, and the solutions were analyzed using an Agilent 1100 LC interfaced to a micromass Waters Micromass Zspray Mass Detector (ZMD). One of two gradients was used to elute the compounds either a formic acid (FA) gradient (acetonitrile containing $0-100 \% 0.1 \%$ formic acid in water) or an ammonium acetate (AA) gradient (acetonitrile containing $0-100 \% 10 \mathrm{mM}$ ammonium acetate in water). All compounds were determined to be $>95 \%$ pure unless otherwise noted. Highresolution mass spectra were recorded using a Thermo LTQ-FT Ultra mass spectrometer equipped with an Eksigent nanoLC Ultra system. About 500 pg of each compound was injected into an in-house packed reverse phase nanobore column ( $75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ID) with Magic C18 resin (Michrom BioResources, Auburn, CA) to a length of 12 cm . The pump flow rate was $300 \mathrm{~nL} / \mathrm{min}$. Buffer A is the aqueous solvent and consisted of $0.1 \%$ formic acid (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) in HPLC grade water (VWR Scientific, West Chester, PA). Buffer B, the organic phase, consisted of $0.1 \%$ formic acid in $90 \%$ acetonitrile (VWR Scientific) and $10 \%$ HPLC grade water. The gradient was set as follows: $0 \mathrm{~min}, 2 \%$ buffer $\mathrm{B} ; 32 \mathrm{~min}$, $42 \%$ buffer B; $35 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \%$ buffer B; and $36 \mathrm{~min}, 2 \%$ buffer B. The compounds were ionized using a New Objective PicoView Nanospray Source with 2.2 kV voltage. The mass spectrometer was set to acquire masses from 350 to 2000 D in positive mode. MS/MS spectra of each compound were also acquired under data-dependent acquisition mode to confirm the chemical structure of the compounds (data not shown).

4-[4-(3-\{[4-Chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]amino\}-3-oxopropyl)phenoxy]-N-methylpyridine-2-carboxamide (2). A mixture of 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid ( $4 \times 250 \mathrm{mg}$, 24.1 mmol total), 4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)aniline ( $4 \times 190 \mathrm{mg}, 15.5$ mmol total), and HATU ( $4 \times 0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 24.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ total) were added to four microwave-safe vials. To each vial, NMP ( 5 mL ) and DIPEA ( 0.425 $\mathrm{mL}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The vials were then sealed and subjected to microwave irradiation at $220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The reaction vessels were unsealed, and the mixtures were combined and diluted with EtOAc. The organic solution was extracted with 1 N HCl and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give a brown oil. Purification by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, elution with EtOAc in hexane $)$ provided N -[4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanamide as a yellow oil that solidified upon exposure to DCM ( $960 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ yield, $\sim 95 \%$ pure). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.74$ (br d, $J=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.16(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

To a mixture of DMPU ( 0.7 mL ) and DMF ( 2.8 mL ) was added N -[4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanamide ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-chloro-N-methylpicolinamide ${ }^{10}$ (328 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol})$. To this solution was added $\mathrm{KO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}(590 \mathrm{mg}, 5.25$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h and then cooled to room temperature, diluted with water, and extracted with EtOAc and DCM. The combined organic solutions were washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give a brown oil. Purification by column chromatography provided 4-[4-(3-\{[4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]amino \}-3-oxopropyl)phenoxy]-N-methylpyridine-2-carboxamide as a colorless solid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 10.11$ (br s, 0.3 H ), 8.41 $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

4-[3-(3-\{[4-Chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]amino\}-3-oxopropyl)phenoxy]-N-methylpyridine-2-carboxamide (3). A mixture of $N$-[4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-3-(3-hydroxyphenyl)propanamide [ $585 \mathrm{mg}, 1.70 \mathrm{mmol}$, prepared as described above using 3-(3-hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid and 4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)aniline], 4-chloro- $N$-methylpicolinamide ${ }^{10}$ (318 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.87 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(7.76 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(3.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was concentrated and diluted with EtOAc. The organic solution was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0-30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}\right.$ in hexanes) to give 3 as a white solid ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta$ $8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.43 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.12,5.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.48,7.48 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, and $2.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.46,7.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS: $m / z 478.3$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}(\mathrm{AA})$.

General Procedure A1, Biaryl Ether Bond Formation. A mixture of 7-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid $(8.00 \mathrm{~g}, 41.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-chloro- $N$-methylpicolinamide ${ }^{10}$ (8.58 g, 50.3 $\mathrm{mmol})$, and cesium carbonate $(40.7 \mathrm{~g}, 125 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and concentrated under vacuum. The resulting slurry was diluted with water and acidified with 1 N HCl solution to pH 3 . The mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature, and a precipitate formed. This precipitate was isolated by filtration, washed well with water, and thoroughly dried to give 7-(\{2-[(methylamino) carbonyl] pyridin-4-yl\}oxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid as a white solid $(12.10 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.4$, $7.8,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.81-1.68$ (m, 1H).

4-\{[7-(\{[4-Chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]amino\}car-bonyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl]oxy\}-N-methyl-pyridine-2-carboxamide (4). 4-Chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)aniline (160 mg, 0.817 mmol$)$, 7-(\{2-[(methylamino)carbonyl] pyridine-4yl \}oxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid (254 mg, $0.778 \mathrm{mmol})$, EDCI ( $149 \mathrm{mg}, 0.778 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMAP ( 114 mg , $0.943 \mathrm{mmol})$ were suspended in $\mathrm{DCM}(2.74 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a microwave vial. The vial was sealed, and the reaction mixture was subjected to microwave irradiation at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Water and DCM were added to the reaction mixture, and the phases were separated. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, elution with $10-50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) provided 210 mg of desired product. This material was taken up in DCM and treated with 1 NHCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The solution was concentrated
to give the HCl salt of 4 as an off-white solid ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 10.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.71 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.81,2.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.83$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.30 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=5.73,2.57 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.39 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.23,2.51$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and 1.92-1.72 (m, 1H). LC-MS: $m / z 504.3 / 506.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 502.4 /$ $504.3[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}(\mathrm{AA}) . \mathrm{HRMS}: m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{ClF}_{3}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}])^{+}, 504.12963$; found, 504.130179 .

General Procedure B1, Amide Bond Formation. 7-(\{2-[-(Methylamino)carbonyl]pyridine-4-yl\}oxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphth-alene-2-carboxylic acid ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 5-amino-2-cyanobenzotrifluoride ( $251 \mathrm{mg}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI ( $258 \mathrm{mg}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMAP ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, diluted with EtOAc, and extracted with aqueous 1 N HCl solution. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, elution with $0-50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) provided 4-\{[7-(\{[4-cyano-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl] amino $\}$ carbonyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl]oxy\}-N-meth-ylpyridine-2-carboxamide as a white powder ( $480 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25-8.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.9$, $3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and $2.01-1.89$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

4-\{[7-(\{[4-(Aminomethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ami-no\}carbonyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl]oxy\}-N-methylpyridine-2-carboxamide (18). 4-\{[7-(\{[4-Cyano-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]amino \} carbonyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2yl] oxy $\}$ - N -methylpyridine-2-carboxamide ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $7.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH solution. To this solution was added Raney 2800 nickel ( $50 \%$ v/v slurry in water, approx 2 mL ), and the mixture was stirred under an atmosphere of hydrogen gas for 5 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated. Purification by column chromatography [ $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, elution with $0-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ (with $1 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ) in DCM ] provided 18 as a white solid. This material was taken up in DCM and treated with 1 N HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The solution was concentrated to give the HCl salt of 18 as a white solid ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, d_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 10.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.32,4.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.72 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.67,2.52 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.20,2.37 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.78$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.90-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS: $m / z 499.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, $497.4[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$(FA).

General Procedure B2, Amide Bond Formation. 7-(2-Acet-amidopyridin-4-yloxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid $(1.00 \mathrm{mg}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA ( $1.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and HATU ( 1.75 g, 4.60 mmol ) were combined in DMF ( 30 mL ), and the solution was stirred for 30 min . tert-Butyl [4-amino-2-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl]carbamate ( $889 \mathrm{mg}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Water was added to the reaction mixture, and the resulting precipitate was isolated by filtration, washed well with water and hexane, and dried under vacuum. Purification by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, elution with $20-80 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) provided tert-butyl [3-\{[((2S)-7-\{[2-(acetylamino)pyridin-4yl] oxy\}-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)carbonyl]amino\}-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl] carbamate as an off white solid ( $1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%)^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83$
$(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.79$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.12$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

General Procedure C, tert-Butyl Carbamate Deprotection. tert-Butyl $[3-\{[((2 S)-7-\{[2$-(acetylamino) pyridin-4-yl] oxy $\}-1,2,3,4$-tet-rahydronaphthalen-2-yl)carbonyl]amino\}-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl]carbamate $(1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a 4.0 M HCl in dioxane solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was stirred for 2 h . The mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the resulting residue by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, elution with $4-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ (with $2 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ) in DCM ) provided a white solid. This solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2.0 M HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. This mixture was stirred for 5 min and then concentrated in vacuo to give (2S)-7-\{[2-(acetylamino)pyridin-4-yl]oxy\}-N-[3-(aminomethyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxamide $\cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}$ (5) as a white solid ( $807 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $d_{6}$-DMSO, HCl salt): $\delta 11.23$ (br s, 1H), 10.74 (s, 1H), 8.43 (s, 2H), $8.23(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS: $m / z 499.7[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; $497.4[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$(FA). HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}])^{+}, 499.19515$; found, 499.195700.

5-Fluoro-3,4-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridin-2(1H)-one (11). Palladium acetate ( $341 \mathrm{mg}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4,5-bis(diphenyl-phosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthene ( $1.76 \mathrm{~g}, 3.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a three-necked, round-bottomed flask, and the flask was purged three times with argon. Degassed 1,4-dioxane ( 240 mL ) was added, and the mixture was stirred and degassed again with argon. To this solution was added a solution of 2-chloro-4-fluoropyridine ( 20 g , $152 \mathrm{mmol})$ in degassed 1,4-dioxane ( 120 mL ), tert-butyl carbamate ( $19.6 \mathrm{~g}, 167 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaOH}(8.88 \mathrm{~g}, 222 \mathrm{mmol})$, and degassed water $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 222 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1.5 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered through a pad of Celite. The pad was washed well with dioxane, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to dryness. The resulting solid was recrystallized from 2-propanol ( $\sim 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to give tert-butyl (4-fluoropyridin-2-yl)carbamate (9) as a pale yellow crystalline solid ( $25.65 \mathrm{~g}, 79.5 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, d_{6}$-DMSO $): \delta 10.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.3,5.6,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and 1.47 (s, 9H). LC-MS: $m / z 213[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(FA).

An oven-dried, three-neck, round-bottomed flask equipped with an overhead stirrer, temperature probe, and addition funnel was charged with tert-butyl (4-fluoropyridin-2-yl)carbamate ( $31.8 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $56.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and THF ( 200 mL ). The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a solution of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(2.50 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 150 mL , 375 mmol ) was added dropwise so that the reaction mixture temperature remained below $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and a solution of $\mathrm{I}_{2}(95.2 \mathrm{~g}, 375 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(160 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via addition funnel. The addition was controlled to keep the reaction mixture temperature below $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the resulting mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . A solution of $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}(61 \mathrm{~g}, 580 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture as it warmed to room temperature. Ethyl acetate was added, and the two-phase mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . Water $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 $\times 400 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the organic phases were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to give an off white solid. This solid was suspended in DCM ( 50 mL ), and the solid was isolated by filtration and washed with a minimum of DCM. The filtrate was concentrated and filtered to give a second crop of product. The solids were combined and dried under vacuum to give tert-butyl (4-fluoro-3-iodopyridin-2$\mathrm{yl})$ carbamate (10) as a white solid ( $45.63 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
(400 MHz, $d_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 9.47$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.32 (dd, $J=8.9,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS: $m / z 339[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}(\mathrm{FA})$.


A round-bottomed flask was charged with tert-butyl (4-fluoro-3-iodopyridin-2-yl)carbamate ( $20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 59.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3,3-diethoxy-1-propene ( $13.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 88.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMF $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, DIPEA $(15.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 88.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, and Pd catalyst $29^{21}(480 \mathrm{mg}, 0.827 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was warmed to $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 h , the reaction mixture was cooled in a refrigerator for 2 days. The precipitate was isolated by filtration, washed with diethyl ether, and dried to give 3.25 g of pink needles. The filtrate was concentrated to give a reddish semisolid. This material was redissolved in DCM, and the solution was passed through 200 g of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$. Concentration of the resulting solution provided a red/orange residue, which was recrystallized from 2-propanol (150 mL ) to give 9.4 g of a pink solid. Purification of this pink solid by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, elution with $\left.0-75 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{DCM}\right)$ provided 1.41 g of a white powder. Overall, 4.66 g of 5-fluoro-3,4-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridin-2(1H)-one (11) was isolated (47\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, d_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 10.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91$ (dd, $J=8.8,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, and $2.55-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS: $m / z 167[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(FA).
(2S)-N-[3-[(Isopropylamino)methyl]-5-(trifluoromethyl)-phenyl]-7-[(7-oxo-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-1,8-naphthyridin-4-yl)oxy]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxamide (7). A mixture of 5-fluoro-3,4-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridin-2(1H)-one (11, $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.301 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (2S)-7-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-naphtha-lene-2-carboxylic acid $[(S)-15,63.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.331 \mathrm{mmol}]$, cesium carbonate $(294 \mathrm{mg}, 0.903 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $N, N$-dimethylacetamide $(1.40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was combined. The mixture was sealed in a microwave vial and heated in the microwave at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was allowed to stir for a few minutes. The clear solution was then neutralized by the addition of 1 NHCl solution, and the resulting suspension was filtered through a Celite pad. The solid residue was washed with MeOH , and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, eluting with $2-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM$)$ to give (2S)-7-[(7-oxo-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-1,8-naphthyridin-4-yl)oxy]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ yield), ee $93.2 \%$ (ee determined by chiral HPLC, Column: IA $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$, eluting with $100 / 0.1 \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{TFA}$, at $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ for 50 min$).{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 11.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54-6.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.64-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.42-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
(2S)-7-[(7-Oxo-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-1,8-naphthyridin-4-yl)oxy]-1,2,3, 4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid and tert-butyl [3-amino-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl]isopropylcarbamate were combined as described in General Procedure B2, Amide Bond Formation, and the Boc group was removed as described in General Procedure C, tert-Butyl Carbamate Deprotection, to give 7 as the HCl salt ( $67 \%$ yield over both steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d_{6}$-DMSO) : $\delta 10.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.54$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{HRMS}: m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}([\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}])^{+}, 553.24210$; found, 553.24265.

General Procedure B3, Amide Bond Formation. A solution of $N$-(4-\{[(7R)-7-amino-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl $]$ oxy $\}$ pyri-din-2-yl)cyclopropanecarboxamide ( $10.2 \mathrm{~g}, 31.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HCl ( 2.0 M solution in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 32 \mathrm{~mL}, 63 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in pyridine ( 170 mL ) was treated with 3-[(dimethylamino)methyl]-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzoic acid $\cdot \mathrm{Li}$ salt $(9.18 \mathrm{~g}, 36.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and EDCI $(9.67 \mathrm{~g}, 50.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. This solution was stirred overnight and then partitioned between EtOAc ( 500 mL ) and half-saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with DCM $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, elution with $100 \%$ DCM to $15 \% \mathrm{EtOH}, 15 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$, and $75 \% \mathrm{DCM})$ provided $\mathrm{N}-[(2 R)-7-$ ( $\{2$-[(cyclopropylcarbonyl)amino] pyridin-4-yl\} oxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-naphthalen-2-yl]-3-[(dimethylamino)methyl]-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide ( 8 ) as a beige powder ( $14.3 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ).

General Procedure D, HCI Salt Formation. Compound 8 (14.3 g , 25.9 mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HCl}\left(2.0 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 39 \mathrm{~mL}, 78 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added dropwise over 10 min , and a precipitate appeared immediately. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 15 min . The hygroscopic precipitate was isolated by filtration, redissolved in EtOH and MeOH , concentrated in vacuo, and dried in a vacuum oven at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Compound $8 \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}$ was provided as an off white solid $(14.2 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, d_{6}\right.$ DMSO, HCl salt): $\delta 11.62-11.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-$ $2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, and $0.91-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $525.6[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 523.3[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$(FA). HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}])^{+}$, 553.24210 ; found, 553.242651 .

General Procedure A2, Biaryl Ether Bond Formation. A mixture of ( $7 R$ )-7-amino-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-ol hydrobromide ( $17,16.7 \mathrm{~g}, 68.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 5 -fluoro-3,4-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridin$2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one ( $11,11.36 \mathrm{~g}, 64.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and cesium carbonate ( 63.49 g , $194.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 216 mL ) was stirred at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was not complete, so additional ( $7 R$ )-7-amino-5,6,7,8-tetrahy-dronaphthalen-2-ol hydrobromide ( $\mathbf{1 7}, 1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 6.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After an additional 1 h at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and carefully treated with an aqueous 1 M HCl solution. The reaction mixture was then diluted with DCM and filtered through Celite. The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was brought to pH 7 by the addition of a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.0 \mathrm{M})$. The brown precipitate was then removed by filtration through Celite, and the filtrate was washed with DCM. The aqueous phase was then brought to pH 14 by addition of a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.0 \mathrm{M})$, and an off-white precipitate formed. This suspension was treated with solid NaCl and stirred for 1 h . The solid was isolated by filtration and dried under vacuum to give 5 - $\{[(7 R)-7$-amino-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2yl] oxy $\}$-3,4-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridin- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one as an off-white solid ( $17.7 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, d_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 10.6-10.4$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.81(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.77-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-$ $1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.51-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS: $m / z 310[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}(\mathrm{AA})$.

3-[(Dimethylamino)methyl]- $N$ - \{(2R)-7-[(7-oxo-5,6,7,8-tet-rahydro-1,8-naphthyridin-4-yl)oxy]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronap-hthalen-2-yl\}-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (26). 3-[(Dimethylamino)methyl $]-5$-(trifluoromethyl)benzoic acid $\cdot$ Li salt and 5 - $\{[-$ (7R)-7-amino-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl]oxy\}-3,4-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridin- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one were combined as described in General Procedures B3, Amide Bond Formation, and D, HCl Salt Formation, to provide 26 ( 20.9 g, 67\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.36$ (s, 1 H), $8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-6.96$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
3.24-3.16 (m, 3H), 3.06-2.94 (m, 3H), 2.90 (s, 6H), 2.81-2.77 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}])^{+}$, 539.22645 ; found, 539.22700 .

3-(1-Amino-1-methylethyl)- $N$ - $\{(2 R)$-7-[(7-oxo-5,6,7,8-tet-rahydro-1,8-naphthyridin-4-yl)oxy]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronap-hthalen-2-yl\}-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (27). 3-\{1-[(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino]-1-methylethyl $\}$-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzoic acid and 5-\{[(7R)-7-amino-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl]oxy $\}$-3,4-dihydro-1,8-naphthyridin- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one were combined as described in General Procedure B3, Amide Bond Formation, without the addition of HCl and General Procedure C to provide 27 $(44.1 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta 8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.40 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.93 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.46,7.46$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, and 1.47 (s, 6H). HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}])^{+}$, 539.22645; found, 539.22700.

V600E and C-Raf Enzyme Assays. Raf enzyme activity was determined using a Flash Plate Assay format by adding $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a solution containing 50 mM HEPES, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,0.025 \%$ Brij $35,10 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, and 10 nM Raf ( ${ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}} \Delta \mathrm{B}$-Raf or C-Raf) to the wells of an assay plate containing compound and was incubated for 20 min . A substrate solution ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) containing 50 mM HEPES, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,0.025 \%$ Brij 35 , $5 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-glycerol phosphate, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnCl} 2,2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ peptide (Biotin-DRGFPRARYRARTTNYNSSRSRFYSGFNSRPRGRVYRGRARATS-WYSPY-NH ${ }_{2}$, New England Peptide), $1 \mu$ M ATP, $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ BSA, and ${ }^{33} \mathrm{P}$ ATP $0.5 \mu \mathrm{Ci} /$ reaction was then added. The reaction mixture was incubated for 3 h and then stopped by the addition of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 100 mM EDTA. The stopped reaction mixture $(65 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was transferred to a Flash Plate (Perkin-Elmer) and incubated for 1 (C-Raf) or $2\left({ }^{\mathrm{V} 600 \mathrm{E}} \Delta \mathrm{B}\right.$-Raf) h. The wells were washed three times with $0.02 \%$ Tween-20. Plates were read on a TopCount analyzer.
pERK Cell-Based Assay. Inhibition of Raf kinase activity in whole cells was assessed by determining the decrease in phosphorylation of the pERK, a kinase downstream of Raf, phosphorylated by MEK. A375 cells were seeded in a 96 -well cell culture plate ( $12 \times 103$ cells $/ 75 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well $)$ and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compounds were added, and cells were incubated with Raf kinase inhibitors for 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Medium was removed, and cells were fixed with $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature. Four percent paraformaldehyde was replaced with methanol for a 15 min treatment and then blocked with $10 \%$ sheep serum and $1 \%$ BSA in PBS for 1 h . Cells were then incubated with antipERK antibody (1:100, Cell Signaling Technologies, \#9101 L) ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well) overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After they were washed with PBS three times, the cells were stained with antirabbit horseradish peroxidase-linked antibody from donkey (1:100, Amersham Bioscience \#NA9340) for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were washed three times with $0.5 \%$ Tween-20 in PBS and then three more times with PBS. $3,3^{\prime}, 5,5^{\prime}-$ Tetramethylbenzidine liquid substrate system ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well) was added, and cells were incubated for $20-30 \mathrm{~min}$ at room temperature. The optical density was read at 650 nm . The cells were then washed 3-5 times with PBS to remove color solution. Results were normalized for the protein content in each well using a BCA protein assay kit (Pierce).

Xenograft Studies. The A375 M cell line (a derivative of A375 ${ }^{22}$ ) was licensed from MD Anderson Cancer Center. Nude NCR ( $v / v$ ) mice were purchased from Taconic. All animals were housed and handled in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and Millennium Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines. Six to eight week old mice were inoculated subcutaneously with A375 M cells $\left(5 \times 10^{6}\right)$ in the right flank. Mice were dosed orally with 0.2 mL of $\mathbf{2 6}$ or $\mathbf{2 7}$ or vehicle only as a control. The vehicle was $10 \%$ (2-hydroxypropyl)- $\beta$-cyclodextrin (HPbCD) in
water, and the pH was adjusted to between 4 and 6 by the addition of 0.1 N NaOH .

For efficacy studies, mice were randomized to generate groups of 10 per treatment with equivalent average initial tumor volume (150$200 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ ) and dosed daily for 21 days. Tumor volumes were measured twice a week using vernier calipers, and volumes were calculated using the formula $L \times W^{2} \times 0.5$.

For PK/PD studies, mice were euthanized, and blood was collected by cardiac puncture and processed to plasma. Compound levels were measured in plasma by LC/MS/MS. Xenograft tumors were excised and trimmed of necrotic areas and flash-frozen for PD analysis. pERK levels were measured in tumor lysates by Li-Cor quantitative Western blot using a rabbit monoclonal antibody (pERK1/2-Thr202/Tyr204, Cell Signaling Catalog \#4377).
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